

United Dental Benefit Healthcare[®] Providers[®]

Application of Desensitizing Medicaments and Resins (For Ohio Only)

Policy Number: CSDEN3010H.A Effective Date: December 1, 2023

Table of Contents	Page
Application	0
Coverage Rationale	
Definitions	<u>1</u>
Applicable Codes	<u>2</u>
Description of Services	<u>2</u>
Clinical Evidence	<u>2</u>
U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)	<u>4</u>
References	<u>4</u>
Policy History/Revision Information	<u>5</u>
Instructions for Use	<u>5</u>
Archived Policy Versions	<u>5</u>
Application	

Instructions for Use

Related Dental Policy Topical Medicaments for Caries Prevention or . Remineralization

Application

This Dental Policy only applies to the state of Ohio. Any requests for services that are stated as unproven or services for which there is a coverage or quantity limit will be evaluated for medical necessity using Ohio Administrative Code 5160-1-01.

Coverage Rationale

Application of Desensitizing Medicament or Resin

Application of desensitizing Medicaments or Resins is indicated for teeth with sensitivity that does not resolve with an over the counter desensitizing dentifrice.

Application of desensitizing Medicaments or Resins is not indicated for teeth with asymptomatic erosion, recession, cervical abrasion or abfraction, or as a base or liner prior to restoration placement.

Definitions

Medicament: Substance or combination of substances intended to be pharmacologically active, specially prepared to be prescribed, dispensed or administered by authorized personnel to prevent or treat diseases in humans or animals. (ADA)

Resin, Acrylic: Resinous material of the various esters of acrylic acid, used as a denture base material, for trays or for other restorations.

Application of Desensitizing Medicaments and Resins UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Dental Clinical Policy

Applicable Codes

The following list(s) of procedure and/or diagnosis codes is provided for reference purposes only and may not be all inclusive. Listing of a code in this policy does not imply that the service described by the code is a covered or non-covered health service. Benefit coverage for health services is determined by the member specific benefit plan document and applicable laws that may require coverage for a specific service. The inclusion of a code does not imply any right to reimbursement or guarantee claim payment. Other Policies and Guidelines may apply.

CDT Code	Description		
D1206	Topical application of fluoride varnish		
D9910	Application of desensitizing medicament		
D9911	Application of desensitizing resin for cervical and/or root surface, per tooth		

CDT° is a registered trademark of the American Dental Association

Description of Services

Many individuals experience tooth sensitivity that is not due to decay or tooth injury. It may be localized to select teeth, or involve the entire dentition, and may be caused by gingival recession, erosion of tooth enamel, craze lines, abrasion and abfraction from toothbrushing and traumatic occlusion, as well as systemic factors. Often, cases of hypersensitivity respond favorably to over the counter desensitizing products, however when sensitivity persists, there is a variety of treatment options available by prescription, or in office application. Lasers, alone or in combination with desenitizing agents, are emerging as another treatment for hypersensitivity.

Clinical Evidence

Application of Desensitizing Medicament or Resin

Jain et al. (2020) conducted a randomized split mouth trial to evaluate the effectiveness of fluoride varnish (sodium fluoride [NaF]), diode laser, and the combination of NaF and diode laser in the treatment of dentin hypersensitivity. Sixty patients aged 20-60 years suffering from dentin hypersensitivity to air-blast, cold, and tactile stimulation corresponding to 4 cm and above on the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) in three quadrants with at least two hypersensitive teeth per quadrant were selected. Hypersensitive teeth were allotted to Group 1 - 5% NaF varnish application alone, Group 2 - 810-nm gallium-aluminum-arsenide laser (GaAlAs) diode laser (0.5 W) irradiation alone, and Group 3 - NaF varnish application, followed by diode laser irradiation. VAS score was recorded at baseline, 1 week, 2 weeks, 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months. A statistically significant reduction in dentin hypersensitivity was observed in all the three groups, from the baseline to the 1st-, 3rd-, and 6th-month follow-ups (p < 0.05). Group 2 and Group 3 demonstrated a significantly higher reduction (p < 0.05) in dentin hypersensitivity for all the stimuli as opposed to Group 1 at all follow-ups. The authors concluded that Diode laser is significantly more effective than fluoride varnish alone in the treatment of dentin hypersensitivity over a period of 6 months.

In a split-mouth, triple-blind, randomized clinical trial, Galvão et al. (2019) evaluated the long-term clinical efficacy of experimental potassium oxalate in relieving dentin hypersensitivity (DH). Thirty-one subjects were enrolled in the study and 5% and 10% potassium oxalate gels were randomly applied at four different sessions per protocol. DH levels were evaluated at 1 week, 1 month, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months for each participant. The results showed that regardless of the potassium oxalate concentration, the desensitizing effect was maintained until the 6 month follow-up evaluation. However, the group that received the 10% concentration showed better desensitizing effects for both 9 and 12 month time periods when compared with the 5% concentration. No complications were noted for the participants. Limitations of the study included the small sample size. The authors concluded both concentrations of potassium oxalate (5 and 10%) proved to be effective on DH reduction for up to six months. This study provides primary clinical evidence, suggesting that multiple application sessions and higher concentrations of potassium oxalate may result in maintenance of the desensitizing effect for more extended periods.

Usai et al. (2019) conducted a an interventional, randomized, single-center clinical trial to compare the 24-week effectiveness of Teethmate Desensitizer (TD), a pure tetracalcium phosphate (TTCP) and dicalcium phosphate dihydrate (DCPD) powder/water,

Application of Desensitizing Medicaments and Resins UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Dental Clinical Policy to that of Dentin Desensitizer (DD), and Bite & White ExSense (BWE) on Dentin Hypersensitivity (DH). A total of 105 subjects were selected. A random table was utilized to form three groups of 35 subjects. DH was evaluated using the evaporative sensitivity, tactile sensitivity tests, and the visual analogue scale (VAS) of pain. Response was recorded before the application of the materials (Pre-1), immediately after (Post-0), at 1 week (Post-1), 4 weeks (Post-2), 12 weeks (Post-3) and 24 weeks (Post-4). The results showed that all the materials decreased DH after 24 weeks, however, the TTCP/DCPD cement showed the greatest statistical efficiency. The authors concluded that the significant decrease of (visual analog scale) VAS pain scores produced by TD in the long term suggest the material is reliable in the clinical relief of DH.

Ravishankar et el. (2018) conducted a randomized, split mouth clinical trial testing the effect of three different desensitizing agents on reduction of pain due to hypersensitive cervical dentin lesions. 28 individuals were selected with 84 teeth diagnosed with cervical dentin hypersensitivity (DH) in at least one tooth. Patients exhibiting pain scores of two or more on the visual analog scale (VAS) were included in the study. Random assignment was performed to one of the three treatment groups based on computer-generated random number. The desensitizing agents used were Profluorid Varnish (Voco: Cuxhaven Germany), Admira Protect (Voco: Cuxhaven Germany), and PRG-Barrier Coat (Shofu: japan). One operator recorded the baseline sensitivity scores. A second operator who was not aware of the baseline values applied the desensitizing agents and recorded the sensitivity scores. VAS scores for both the stimuli were noted immediately after application, 1 week, and after 1 month. The data were analyzed using repeated measure ANOVA and post hoc Tukey's multiple comparison tests. There was a significant reduction in VAS scores from baseline in all the three groups at all the time intervals. Admira Protect showed significant reduction of hypersensitivity scores at 1 month compared to the other groups. It was concluded Admira Protect was proved to be better in reducing pain due to DH than PRG-Barrier Coat and Profluorid Varnish after 1 month of application.

In a randomized, double-blind, split-mouth clinical trial, Madruga et al. (2017) performed a comparison of the desensitizing efficacy of resin-modified glass ionomer cement (GIC) ClinproTM XT and the conventional GIC Vidrion R. Subjects were required to have at least two teeth with dentin hypersensitivity. Teeth were divided at random into 2 groups, one group received Clinpro XT and the other conventional GIC Vidrion R. Treatments were assessed by tactile and air blast tests using Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) at baseline, after 20 minutes, and at 7, 15, 21, 30, 90 and 180 days post-treatment. Twenty subjects (152 teeth) were included. Both tests (tactile and air blast) showed a significant reduction of dentin hypersensitivity immediately after the application of Vidrion R and Clinpro XT (20 min). VAS scores obtained along the 6-month follow-up were statistically lower when compared to initial rates (p < 0.05). Both GIC were able to reduce dentin hypersensitivity up to 6-month post-treatment period without statistically significant differences among them (p > 0.05). Both cements provided satisfactory results in long-term dental sensitivity reduction.

In a randomized clinical trial, Han et al. (2017) evaluated the clinical efficacy of five commercially available desensitizing agents with different mechanisms applied to hypersensitive teeth. The study included 64 individuals that met the criteria and each was randomly assigned to five commercially available desensitizing agents, and applied according to the manufacturers' instructions. Before and after application of desensitizing agents, subjects were evaluated with the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) at baseline, 1 week, 1 month and 3 months; no statistically significant differences between the products was shown. Desensitizing agents used in this clinical trial relieved dentin hypersensitivity up to 3 months. The authors concluded the five tested desensitizing agents with different mechanisms were clinically effective in relieving dentin hypersensitivity up to 3 months and showed statistically significant pain reduction when compared to baseline scores.

Ding et al. (2014) This short-term (4-week) randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, split-mouth study evaluated the effect of Clinpro XT Varnish (VXT) paste-liquid, resin-modified glass-ionomer and the resinous dentin desensitizing varnish and Gluma Dentin Desensitizer (Gluma) in treating dentin hypersensitivity (DH).

A total of 119 teeth from 31 individuals were randomized into three groups: VXT, Gluma, and placebo (warm water). Dentin sensitivity was evaluated by subjects' perception of DH determined by pretreatment tooth sensitivity score (TSS) measured on a 0-10 visual analogue scale (VAS) after tactile (probe) or thermal/evaporative (blast of air) stimuli. TSS was scored at baseline, immediately after treatment (Day 0), after 1 week and after 4 weeks. For both stimuli, mean TSS was significantly decreased in the VXT and Gluma groups at all time points compared with baseline. Regarding comparisons of TSS between treatment groups, the VXT group had significantly lower mean TSS compared with the Gluma group and placebo control group at all time points after treatment regardless of stimuli.

Craig et al. (2013) conducted a double-blind, randomized clinical trial. A total of 19 subjects with dentine hypersensitivity on both sides of their upper arch were selected. The most sensitive tooth in each quadrant was identified and received a cold

Application of Desensitizing Medicaments and Resins UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Dental Clinical Policy Page 3 of 5 Effective 12/01/2023 stimulus. The response was recorded on a visual analogue scale (VAS). The tooth selected was treated with one of the treatment agents. One week later the level of dentine sensitivity was assessed. Participants were also asked for their subjective assessment of treatment effects. The mean difference between VAS at baseline and seven days for teeth treated with diamine silver fluoride/potassium iodide was greater than that for teeth treated with the oxalic acid-based preparation. The subjects' subjective assessment of changes in dentine hypersensitivity indicated that more obtained relief with the diamine silver fluoride/potassium iodide treatment. The authors concluded that a diamine silver fluoride/potassium iodide product has potential as a treatment for dentine hypersensitivity.

Petersson, Lars G (2013) conducted a literature review of original scientific papers from clinical trials listed in PubMed and Medline from 2000 to October 2011 for studies using fluoride to control dentin hypersensitivity (DHS) and prevent root caries. The results showed that fluoride toothpaste shows a fair effect on sensitive teeth when combined with dentin fluid-obstructing agents such as different metal ions, potassium, and oxalates. Fluoride in solution, gel, and varnish give an instant and long term relief of dentin and bleaching hypersensitivity. Most fluoride preparations in combination with dentin fluid obstruction agents are beneficial to reduce DHS, while prevention of root caries is favorable with higher fluoride concentrations. The authors concluded that fluoride is an effective agent to control DHS and to prevent root caries particularly when used in higher concentrations.

Pandit et al. (2012) conducted a randomized clinical trial was designed to compare the efficacy of two commercially available desensitizing agents (fluoride varnish containing 6% sodium fluoride and 6% calcium fluoride and a gel containing 6% potassium nitrate and 0.11% fluoride ions) in the treatment of dentinal hypersensitivity. Twenty-one patients were selected. Subjects were evaluated using three different stimuli, i.e., tactile test, air blast test and cold water test. They were then randomly divided into two groups. Patients in group I were treated with fluoride varnish and group II patients were treated with gel containing 6% potassium nitrate and 0.11% fluoride ions. The patients were examined at baseline, immediately after application of the agent, at 1 week, 1 month and 3 month intervals. The results showed that patients treated in group I showed significantly better results compared to group II patients at 1 month and 3 months interval. Teeth which required repeat dose and those which did not require repeat dose were comparable in number. The authors concluded that both the agents showed significant reduction in sensitivity at all-time intervals compared to baseline. A comparatively significant reduction in sensitivity score was seen in patients treated with fluoride varnish and it appeared to be more effective in providing long-term relief against all the three test stimuli.

Castillo et al. (2011) conducted a multi-center, randomized clinical trial to assess the effectiveness and safety of topical diamine silver fluoride on tooth sensitivity. From two sites, 126 adults with at least one tooth sensitive to compressed air were randomly assigned to either the topical silver diamine fluoride or sterile water, and pain was assessed by means of a 100-mm visual analogue scale at 24 hours and 7 days. The diamine silver fluoride reduced pain at 7 days at both sites. No tissue ulceration, white changes, or argyria was observed. A small number of participants in the silver fluoride group experienced a mild but transient increase in erythema in the gingiva near the tooth. No changes were observed in the Gingival Index. The authors concluded that diamine silver fluoride is a clinically effective and safe tooth desensitizer.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

This section is to be used for informational purposes only. FDA approval alone is not a basis for coverage.

Fluoride varnish currently has FDA approval as a cavity liner and desensitizer. There are extensive manufacturers of fluoride varnish. Refer to the following website for more information and search by specific product name: http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/default.htm. (Accessed August 31. 2022)

There are numerous products for in office application that have FDA clearance for reducing dental hypersensitivity. Refer to the following website and search for product specific name: <u>http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMN/pmn.cfm</u>. (Accessed August 31, 2022)

References

American Dental Association (ADA). Glossary of Dental Clinical and Administrative Terms.

American Dental Association (ADA) CDT Codebook 2023.

Application of Desensitizing Medicaments and Resins UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Dental Clinical Policy Page 4 of 5 Effective 12/01/2023

Proprietary Information of UnitedHealthcare. Copyright 2023 United HealthCare Services, Inc.

Castillo JL, Rivera S, Aparicio T, et al. The short-term effects of diamine silver fluoride on tooth sensitivity: a randomized controlled trial. J Dent Res. 2011 Feb; 90(2):203-8.

Craig GG, Knight GM, McIntyre JM. Clinical evaluation of diamine silver fluoride/potassium iodide as a dentine desensitizing agent. A pilot study. Aust Dent J. 2012 Sep; 57(3):308-11.

Ding YJ, Yao H, Wang GH, et al. A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study of the efficacy of Clinpro XT varnish and Gluma dentin desensitizer on dentin hypersensitivity. Am J Dent. 2014 Apr; 27(2):79-83.Galvão ADM, Zeola LF, Moura GF, et al. A long-term evaluation of experimental potassium oxalate concentrations on dentin hypersensitivity reduction: A triple-blind randomized clinical trial. J Dent. 2019 Aug 12:103180.

Han KH, Hong JH, Shin JH, et al. Effects of desensitizing agents for cervical dentin hypersensitivity: A randomized clinical trial. Am J Dent. 2017 Apr; 30(2):84-88.

Jain A, Rao J, Pal N, Singh A. Effectiveness of fluoride varnish, diode laser, and their combination in treatment of dentin hypersensitivity: A randomized split-mouth clinical trial. J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2020 Jul-Aug; 24(4):369-374.

Madruga MM, Silva AF, Rosa WL, et al. Evaluation of dentin hypersensitivity treatment with glass ionomer cements: A randomized clinical trial. Braz Oral Res. 2017 Jan 5; 31:e3.

Pandit N, Gupta R, Bansal A. Comparative evaluation of two commercially available desensitizing agents for the treatment of dentinal hypersensitivity. Indian J Dent Res. 2012 Nov-Dec; 23(6):778-83.

Petersson LG. The role of fluoride in the preventive management of dentin hypersensitivity and root caries. Clin Oral Investig. 2013 Mar; 17 Suppl 1:S63-71.

Ravishankar P, Viswanath V, Archana D, et al. The effect of three desensitizing agents on dentin hypersensitivity: A randomized, split-mouth clinical trial. Indian J Dent Res. 2018 Jan-Feb;29(1):51-55.

Usai P, Campanella V, Sotgiu G, et al. Effectiveness of Calcium Phosphate Desensitising Agents in Dental Hypersensitivity Over 24 Weeks of Clinical Evaluation. Nanomaterials (Basel). 2019;9(12):1748. Published 2019 Dec 9.

Policy History/Revision Information

Date	Summary of Changes
12/01/2023	New dental policy

Instructions for Use

This Dental Policy provides assistance in interpreting the UnitedHealthcare Community Plan of Ohio dental benefit plans. When deciding coverage, the member specific benefit plan document must be referenced as the terms of the member specific benefit plans may differ. In the event of a conflict, the member specific benefit plan document governs. Before using this policy, please check the member specific benefit plan document and any applicable federal or state mandates. UnitedHealthcare reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary. This Dental Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Archived Policy Versions

Effective Date	Policy Number	Policy Title
N/A	N/A	<u>N/A</u>

Application of Desensitizing Medicaments and Resins UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Dental Clinical Policy